HCD-B A03 (600204464-9 US)

REMARKS

The above amendment is made to put the application in order for appeal. In an office action dated July 14, 2003, the Exeminer indicated that there were three option for regularizing the priority claim. Applicant chooses option (A) as suggested by the Examiner and provides a new priority statement.

Applicant notes that the priority claim is exactly that on the filing receipt. Further applicant has checked the filing dotes with the US Patent and Trademark Office. The attached palm print, issued by the office, shows that the dates on the filing receipt are consistent with the office's records.

The present explication is a confinantion of present U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 0.0997,91, which in turn is a continuation of grandpasses U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 0.0998,009. The specification of each of said spatiations is the same, with the exception that the present application and the parent application contain non-substantive mengraphs referring to their respective fitness:

It appears that the request for corroction of filing receipt was neither entered nor acted on. In any event, it requested an incorrect change, since the filing date listed on the filing receipt are the same as the patient office records. The notation on the filing receipt that the date of the grandparent application is inconsistent with PTO records appears to be a mistake. We understand that mistakes such as this happen from time to time.

Applicant has already filed a notice of appeal in this application. An appeal brief will be filed in due course.

December 30, 2003 Read Smith LLP 599 Lexington Avenue, 29th Floor New York, New York 10022-7650 Tel; (212) 521-5400 Paul Fenster Reg. No. 33,877